

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,178	09/04/2002	Masaki Yamazaki	F-7262	8528
75	590 10/07/2003		EXAMINER	
Jordan & Hamburg			LUGO, CARLOS	
122 East 42nd S New York, NY			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3677	
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/07/2001	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(a)				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summany	10/018,178	YAMAZAKI, MASAKI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ti	Carlos Lugo	3677				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become	reply be timely filed irreply be timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 S	September 2002 .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowardsed in accordance with the practice under			its is			
•	on of Claims						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.					
· _	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 11-26</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) <u>6-10 and 27</u> is/are objected to.						
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
,	The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 September 2002</u> is/a		objected to by the Examiner.				
10)[2]	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) 🗆 :	The proposed drawing correction filed on						
٠.,۵	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		,				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	•	·			
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•					
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			cation).			
15) 🗌 🖊) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
Attachmen							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>			
C. Detect of T	and a most Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/018,178 Page 2

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description:

Angles a and b are not illustrated in the drawings.

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description:

• Elements α, β and 51b is not described in the specification

A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "said mating portion" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,178

Art Unit: 3677

Claim Rej ctions - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 1-4,11-15,17/15 and 18-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan No 56-143612 (JP '612) in view of US Pat No 5,626,449 to McKinlay (McKinlay '449).

Regarding claims 1,4,11,15,7/15 and 18-26, JP '612 discloses a screw mechanism having a member (5) to be tightened by screwing one screw member (11) on a tightening side to another screw member (12 and 13) characterized by making a pair of inclined surfaces (8) interposed between the two screw members in a mutually contacted state. The inclined surfaces circle around in a spiral form.

However, JP fails to disclose that the lead of the spiral is smaller than the lead of the screw.

McKinlay '449 teaches that is known in the art to have the lead of the spiral (34 and 36) is smaller than the lead of the screw (Col. 4 Lines 55-65).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a spiral surface, as taught by McKinlay '449, into a device as described by JP '612, in order to reduce the amount of torque applied to the assembly.

As to claim 2, JP '612 discloses that the inclined surfaces are formed at a pair of washers (2a and 2b).

As to claim 3, JP '612 fails to disclose that the inclined surface can be formed on the screw member and the other one on a washer.

McKinlay '449 teaches that the inclined surface can be formed on the screw member (14) and the other one on a washer (16).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the inclined surfaces, as taught by McKinlay '449, into a device as described by JP '612, because it is a design choice that will not affect the threaded engagement.

As to claims 12-14, JP '612, as modified by McKinlay '449, discloses a method of tightening a screw mechanism.

7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan No 56-143612 (JP '612) in view of US Pat No 5,626,449 to McKinlay (McKinlay '449) and further in view of US Pat No 5,222,849 to Walton.

JP '612, as modified by McKinlay '449, fails to disclose code or symbols formed on the washer.

Walton teaches that is known in the art to have a threaded fastener assembly comprising means (28 and 40) to provide visual indication.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have means for visual indication, as taught by Walton, into a

device as described by JP '612, as modified by McKinlay '449, in order to provide visual indication of the load suministrated.

8. Claims 16 and 17/16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan No 56-143612 (JP '612) in view of US Pat No 5,626,449 to McKinlay (McKinlay '449) and further in view of US Pat No 5,180,268 to Richardson.

JP '612, as modified by McKinlay '449, fails to disclose that the washers include stoppers.

Richardson teaches that is known in the art to have washers (24 and 26) having stoppers (60 and 62).

It would have been obvious to tone having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have stoppers, as taught by Richardson, into a device as described by JP '612, as modified by McKinlay '449, in order to prevent the washer from rotating (opposite direction from the tightening direction).

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 6,7 and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 8-10 will be allowed as depending from claims 6 and 7.

Reasons For Allowance

10. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowable subject matter:

Claim 6 presents allowable subject matter over the prior art of record because the teachings of the references taken as a whole do not teach or render obvious the combination set forth, including that the pair of tier faces are spaced when the inclined surfaces are in contact.

JP '612, as modified by McKinlay '449, discloses that the pair of tier faces are in contact when the inclined surfaces are in contact.

Claim 7 presents allowable subject matter over the prior art of record because the teachings of the references taken as a whole do not teach or render obvious the combination set forth, including that the outer circumference of the washers includes a graduation to have a perception of the interval size between the tier faces. Walton teaches that is known in the art to have a threaded fastener assembly comprising marks (28 and 40) to provide visual indication. However, marks are to provide a visual indication of the load suministrated.

Claim 27 presents allowable subject matter over the prior art of record because the teachings of the references taken as a whole do not teach or render obvious the combination set forth, including that the assembly further includes a latching ring having a tongue piece.

Claims 8-10 will be allowed as depending from claims 6 and 7.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/018,178

Art Unit: 3677

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Carlos Lugo whose telephone number is 703-305-

9747. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 703-306-4115. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-

9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-

306-5771.

Carlos Lugo Examiner Art Unit 3677

September 30, 2003.

J. J. SWANN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600